Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—I do not think it will be to long with the Superintending Engineer. As soon as it reaches Government, sanction will be given.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question hour is over.

Motion of No-confidence in the Council of Ministers.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have got the ruling ready. Shall I first give the ruling or call upon the Mover of the Motion to move it ?

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—I may be allowed to move the motion.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Yes.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—I beg leave of the House to move the following motion :

"That this House has no confidence in the Council of ministers of the State of Mysore."

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved ;

"That this House has no confidence in the Council of Ministers of the State of Mysore."

May I know how many Members support the Motion ?

(Some Members stood up.)

Mr. SPEAKER.—There are more than 30. Leave is granted.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—The number may be counted, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have no objection. The Members may again stand.

(Members stood up.)

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Secretary has counted 55. More than 30 have stood up. Therefore the House shall be deemed to have greanted leave. I will now enlighten the House with regard to the ruling also I have dealt with the matter. But I will quote certain precedents, in order to avoid discussion on procedural matters. I will refer to the Lok Sabha Debates, Volume 19, Part I, column 158. Mr. Speaker says ; "I have to inform the House that I have received 7 of notices motions of no-confidence in the Council of Ministers under Rule 198." Motion Nos. 1, 2 and others are not moved and ultimately the 6th motion standing in the name of Hon. Sri J. B. Kripalani is taken up. Mr. Kripalani, says ; "I beg leave of the House to move the following motion". Then it is supported by 72 Members who stood up. Mr. Speaker thereupon says : "I will have to fix some time. Can the Government give me an indication when it is convenient to the Government to have this matter discussed? Minister for Parliamentary Affairs I think, will consult the Opposition as well and let me know the mind of the Government and give me an indication": Then hon. Member Sri H. V. Kamath said : "In view of the importance of the question may I earnestly request that at least a whole week should be allotted for discussion of this motion, I mean 5 working days".

Then there is another point to be considered and I do not know whether it can be done here. Then Volume 19, Part B, column 1217. The Debate begins. The Chair observes: "The matter is serious and the occasion solemn. Let us enter into it with all the seriousness that it requires." Then Sri Kripalani is called upon. He finishes his speech. Then there is a little point which I would like the Members to know. Two things—one is the break-up of the time that might be agreed upon and that is also announced at column 1237. The Speaker says:

"Motion moved.....

The House has 15 hours for this discussion; time is to be divided between the Ruling Party and the Opposition—the opposition gets 6 hours and the Ruling party gets 9 hours. Then the idea of of fixing the time limit came.

These are matters on which, perhaps, some attention could be bestowed, and the procedure in England is that the Speaker has little or nothing to do either with the moving or talking out—whether it is in order or not. Therefore, it is a matter which is purely between the Leader of the Opposition and the Leader of the House and they among themselves get through their whips and in the usual channel come to some understanding and the earliest time is given. Here, the procedure is something different. I am not going to analyse the resons why it is different. This is the procedure which we can have.

Sri K. LAKKAPPA.—The Chair has referred to the Lok Sabha proceedings. Therefore, may I request the Chair to grant one week's time so that we can have a full dress Debate?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The hon. member is entitled to make a request, but Let him not think that the Speaker grants it. The Speaker has no funds from which to give.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—ಕುಲಂಗೆ ಪಾರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಸಮಯ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಯಾವ ರಿಸಿಷನ್ ಓದಿದಿರಿ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—If the hon. member is inattentive, he can kindly read it for himself.

Shall I give the ruling?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—ಮೋಷನ್ ಯಾವಾಗ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ, ಎಷ್ಟೆಷ್ಟು ದಿವಸಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಿ ಅನ್ನುವುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಾವು ಹೇಳಬಹುದು. ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—At the appopriate time.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—Yesterday, I had stated that this was an important piece of controversy that had come up before the House.

Mr SPEAKER.—That is why I spent a sleepless night reading all the books possible.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—For the guidance of the Members, a detailed ruling may be given—not only given, but a further thing which I have to request is that important rulings given by the Chair on important matters should be consolidated in a separate booklet and that should be supplied to the Members for their guidance.

Mr. SPEAKER.—May I disturb the Hon'ble Member? It is already under print. Don't think that I have forgotten that request?

Sri V. S. PATIL.—In that Handbook, some guidance is given. That is a different matter. We have nothing to do with that. Regarding conduct, and the rules to be followed on different points, there should a separate booklet printed and supplied to the Members.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is exactly what I said. All rulings have been compiled and some of the proceedings which have been supplied should be consolidated again and put in a book-form and sent to the Press.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—In that Booklet, a list of unparliamentary words decided by the Chair may also be given, because it will be practically a guidance book to the Hon'ble Members so that we can study and follow it on many occasions. That is one of the requests.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have no objection to give.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—There is another thing which I have to make—as per rule 153, the Debate must commence on the next day or within three days. That is clearly worded.

Mr. SPEAKER.—We will deal with it.

Sri V S. PATIL.—About the time, let the Government and the Opposition have consultatation and decide it but when it is to commence must be decided by you.

Mr. SPEAKER.—This ruling which I have got is as a result of the study which I made yesterday. Then, with regard to the actual dates, the time when the notice of any individual 'no-confidence' motion was given, when it was actually put on the agenda, all these things, to the extent the facts are avilable, I shall take pains to study them. But, when the six 'no confidence' motions tabled in the Lok Sabha, it is not easy for me to know the exact dates unless I write and get that factual information. But, I can see from the internal evidence that the particular 'no-confidence' motion that was discussed and moved by the Hon'ble Member Sri Kripalani, was given notice of by him at a time when he had not taken oath ann there were five preceding him. So, if we take the time lag in any item furnished by the internal evidence, I should feel that it is more than two days. I cannot say positively unless I have all the facts before me. Then, with regard to the 'no-confidence' motions discussed in other State Legislature it will not be very easy to get evidence by correspondence, because these matters cannot come to public. Only the motion is admitted. Unless it is put on the agenda, it cannot appear in the Papers. I find that in to-day's Paper something has appeared. How it appeared I am not able to understand. When the motion is not before the House, how it went to the Press is a matter.....

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—That was discussed on the floor of the House yesterday.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What did we discuss? Did I read the resolution? How could it go within inverted commas to the Press unless somebody has given it? Members rush; I am weak, I cannot rush like that.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—ನಾಳೆ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮದ ವಿಷಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿದೆಯೇ?

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Yesterday, I raised this matter. After all it is only one sentence 'no-confidence' motion. You need not apply your mind. You may just straightaway permit this motion to be discussed. That might have been better.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Did I suggest anything else ?

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—We did not take this matter to the Press. Just now, I got this.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Did I suggest that Sri Muckannappa rushed to the Press and gave it ? Did I make an impression like that ? It is best to leave it at that.

Sri D. PARAMESHWARAPPA.—Just now you referred to the proceedings of the Lok Sabha and there it is said that the time given to the Ruling Party is nine hours and the Opposition party six hours. Now, we have tabled a 'no-confidence' motion and we are levelling so many charges against the Government.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do not know what the charges are.

Sri D. PARAMESHWARAPPA.—We will have to convince the House regarding the various acts of the Government. Therefore, in order to substantiate our charges, I think more time is very necessary and a week's time may be given to discuss this matter.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What did I say ? I said that both the sides might get together and see what could be done.

(*Sri B. D. Jatti rose.*)

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—On a point of order.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble member is simply converting an idea into a Point of Order.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—When the Deputy Leader of the House is present, it is for him to reply on behalf of the Government and not for any other Member of the Government. So, I want your ruling whether in the presence of the Deputy Leader any other Member of the Government can reply.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Has the member got anything in support of what he says, either any Ruling, Chapter or Verse or convention ?

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—I want sometime to refer to the proceedings of the Lok Sabha.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I hold the point of order in abeyance.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—The convention is that in the absence of the Leader of the House, the Deputy Leader should represent him.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sir, I am sorry for the ignorance of the Hon'ble Member, Sir. I felt that by now, he has come to know what exactly is the procedure and what is meant by the Deputy Leader of the House. If he is ignorant, I am very sorry for that.

As far as today's work is concerned, it will be better for the Hon'ble Leader of the Opposition and our Party Leader to discuss and come to some understanding regarding the time that is to be allotted and how

(SRI B. D. JATTI)

the time should be distributed. It is a good convention and if the Hon'ble Leader of the Opposition agrees, we are prepared to discuss with him and settle the matter.

SRI K. LAKKAPPA.—Sir, the Hon'ble Minister was pleased to say 'ignorance of the Hon'ble Member'. Will the Hon'ble Finance Minister be pleased to apprise the House of the correct procedure?

SRI B. D. JATTI:—I am repeating it, Sir. He is absolutely ignorant of the procedure. If he was in the know of things, he would never have committed such a mistake.

SRI SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—There is no question of ignorance. The use of that word 'ignorance' imputes certain motives. There is no necessity at all to suggest that I am ignorant. I only raised a point of order and it is for the Hon'ble Speaker to give a ruling.

MR. SPEAKER.—If the Hon'ble Member is pressing for a ruling on that, I say there is no merit in that.

SRI G. V. GOWDA.—I want to know what is wrong in Sri Aikala asking for guidance as to the procedure, Sir? What is wrong?

MR. SPEAKER.—I may mention how it is done in the House of Commons. The time allocation procedure is totally different there and we must not mix up that idea here with what is to be done here according to our own rules and conventions established here. In England, the time allocation for the day is not done by the Speaker. it is done by the Members through the usual channels. The business of the House is settled and then the agenda is put up, and what is coming in the List of Business is taken up on the floor of the House. Particularly a substantive motion like the noconfidence motion, is not brought up before the House unless it is included in the List of Business of the day. And then the time that is allocated to this, is not the non official time as we understand the division of time—the Government time and the non-official Members' time. This time is to be given out of the Government time. What is being done there, is, in that manner because unless the Government provides time, unless there is an agreement between these leaders, the Leader of the Opposition and the Leader of the House, it becomes extremely difficult for the Speaker, to allocate time. But our procedure is slightly different. Whenever a motion of non-confidence or a motion of censure is brought, the Government is always ready to give time, but that is discussed among themselves. After they have agreed, it is put on the List of Business and time is given out of the Government time. Therefore, please do not be under the impression that it is the Speaker in the Parliament that is to decide to give time. I have studied the Rules and I am studying. The first thing that I want to do, is, as has been done in the Lok Sabha to see whether the two persons, the leaders of the two parties as I call it, the Ruling Party and the Opposition Party, the entire unit, come to some understanding. Otherwise, I will try and apply my mind and see what has to be done.

With these observations, we will now proceed to the rest of the business.

I was mentioning that I want to gather some more facts. If Sri Patil wants the ruling, I will read it out.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—The Chair can gather some more details as to what happened in the Lok Sabha and later give a considered ruling. There is no hurry, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Kindly consult Sri Patil and tell me.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I have given notice under rules 63 and 59. When I discussed the matter with the Secretary, he wanted a copy of the judgement. But it costs me Rs. 60 to 70 to get a copy from the High Court.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will ask the Government to get a copy of it. The best thing is for the Hon'ble Member to meet somebody on the Government side.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Our Koutilya is very stringent. He does not want to spend money.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE.

SECRETARY.—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table of the House the Eighth list of Unstarred Questions and Answers thereto.

UNSTARRED QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Construction of a Primary School building at Bommasandra, Kanakapura Taluk.

Q.—54. Sri S. KARIAPPA (Kanakapura).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether the contract for the construction of Primary School building at Bommasandra, Kanakapura Taluk had been given to one Sri Channaveere Gowda of Bommasandra ;

(*b*) whether it is a fact that the incomplete building has already been collapsed ;

(*c*) whether it is also a fact that the contractor has already drawn a sum of Rs. 1,300 ;

(*d*) whether it is a fact that neither the amount drawn has been collected back from the contractor nor the contractor has been compelled to construct the building afresh ?